



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,500	03/26/2004	Masakazu Nishida	9683/175	8158

7590 03/03/2009  
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione  
NBC Tower  
Suite 3600  
P.O. Box 10395  
Chicago, IL 60610

EXAMINER
----------

ROSE, KERRI M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2416

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

03/03/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/810,500	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NISHIDA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> KERRI M. ROSE	<b>Art Unit</b> 2416	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KERRI M. ROSE. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Karl Horlander (63,417). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 14 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Imamura et al. (US 6,453,369).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner agreed that the identifier matching performed by Imamura does not appear to be "in response to a request of the first program to access the data associated with the second program..." as required by the claim. However, Examiner also stated a thorough re-reading of the applied portions of Imamura was required before she could conclude with certainty that Imamura does not teach all the claim limitations.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kerri M Rose/ Examiner, Art Unit 2416	/Aung S. Moe/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416
---	---